Dynamic Illumination for Spatio-temporal Integration of Unwanted Interference in Holographic Displays

Fergal Shevlin, Ph.D. DYOPTYKA, Ireland.

Laser Display and Lighting Conference 2018 Yokohama, Japan.

2018-04-27



CGH image displayed by LCoS SLM

- To observe unwanted interferences, including speckle, in holographic imagery formed using a spatial light modulator.
- To investigate whether our phase-randomizing *deformable mirror* can improve image quality.
- In an automotive head-up display as an example—because observed speckle is a function of a complete optical system.

Aims



DM in inactive and active states

- To observe unwanted interferences, including speckle, in holographic imagery formed using a spatial light modulator.
- To investigate whether our phase-randomizing deformable mirror can improve image quality.
- In an automotive head-up display as an example—because observed speckle is a function of a complete optical system.

Aims



Typical automotive HUD

[Kim, 2016]

- To observe unwanted interferences, including speckle, in holographic imagery formed using a spatial light modulator.
- To investigate whether our phase-randomizing *deformable mirror* can improve image quality.
- In an automotive head-up display as an example—because observed speckle is a function of a complete optical system.

Aims



Typical automotive HUD

[Kim, 2016]

- To observe unwanted interferences, including speckle, in holographic imagery formed using a spatial light modulator.
- To investigate whether our phase-randomizing *deformable mirror* can improve image quality.
- In an automotive head-up display as an example—because observed speckle is a function of a complete optical system.

HUD Image Visibility



HUD optical system

[Zemax, 2018]

Eye pupil misalignment with HUD exit pupil limits visible field.
 Eye box volume is proportional to *divergence* of real image.
 A *diffusing screen* at real image plane increases divergence.

HUD Image Visibility



HUD optical system

[Zemax, 2018]

Eye pupil misalignment with HUD exit pupil limits visible field.
 Eye box volume is proportional to *divergence* of real image.
 A *diffusing screen* at real image plane increases divergence.

HUD Image Visibility



HUD optical system

[Zemax, 2018]

Eye pupil misalignment with HUD exit pupil limits visible field.
 Eye box volume is proportional to *divergence* of real image.
 A *diffusing screen* at real image plane increases divergence.



Luminit Inc. surface diffuser, 20 deg circular.

- Diffusing screen worsens image quality: rough surface visible; and *speckle* with coherent illumination.
- Moving the diffusing screen is a very effective solution.
- However, mechanical motion may not be desirable: size, complexity, cost, power consumption, ...
- Can deformable mirror be used instead?



Diffuser rotating at 10,000 rev./min.

- Diffusing screen worsens image quality: rough surface visible; and *speckle* with coherent illumination.
- Moving the diffusing screen is a very effective solution.
- However, mechanical motion may not be desirable: size, complexity, cost, power consumption, ...
- Can *deformable mirror* be used instead?



Diffuser rotating at 10,000 rev./min.

- Diffusing screen worsens image quality: rough surface visible; and *speckle* with coherent illumination.
- Moving the diffusing screen is a very effective solution.
- However, mechanical motion may not be desirable: size, complexity, cost, power consumption, ...
- Can *deformable mirror* be used instead?



Diffuser rotating at 10,000 rev./min.

- Diffusing screen worsens image quality: rough surface visible; and *speckle* with coherent illumination.
- Moving the diffusing screen is a very effective solution.
- However, mechanical motion may not be desirable: size, complexity, cost, power consumption, ...
- Can deformable mirror be used instead?

Deformable mirror: randomized phase





Surface waves

E.g. λ =100 µm, A=1 µm

Convex and concave surface deformations at hundreds of kHz.
 Traveling and standing waves with no discontinuity of slope.

Deformable mirror: randomized phase



Surface waves

E.g. λ =100 µm, A=1 µm

Convex and concave surface deformations at hundreds of kHz.Traveling and standing waves with no discontinuity of slope.

Deformable mirror: randomized divergence



Incidence e.g. Ø1 mm

2 deg *divergence*

3 deg divergence

Randomized divergence without scattering.

Optically efficient, compact, alternative to a moving diffuser.

Deformable mirror: randomized divergence



Incidence e.g. Ø1 mm

2 deg divergence

3 deg divergence

- Randomized divergence without scattering.
- Optically efficient, compact, alternative to a moving diffuser.

Deformable mirror: speckle reduction



1 µs pulse, DM inactive



1 µs pulse, DM active

Very fast, ... not a great advantage for most displays but it is for inspection (*throughput*) and measurement (*vibration*.)

Deformable mirror: speckle reduction



1 µs pulse, DM inactive



1 µs pulse, DM active

Very fast, ... not a great advantage for most displays but it is for inspection (*throughput*) and measurement (*vibration*.)

Deformable mirror: optical efficiency





■ For equal speckle contrast ratios, projection display imagery > 50 % brighter with DM than with moving diffuser(s.)

Deformable mirror: (pseudo-)collimation



Incidence e.g. Ø100 μm Beam dia.

Double slit fringes

Beam quality preserved with spatial coherence reduced.

Deformable mirror: inter-modal dispersion



Exit face of 105 µm multimode fiber

Efficient coupling into small lightguides.

Deformable mirror: inter-modal dispersion



Illumination from 105 µm multimode fiber

Efficient coupling into small lightguides.

Deformable mirror: inter-modal dispersion



Exit face of 1 mm² lightguide

Efficient coupling into small lightguides.



Michelson-type interferometer

Although wavefront is randomized at high temporal frequency, interference fringes are stable.

So too are diffraction patterns.

What about computer-generated holograms?



DOE crosshair pattern on smooth surface

- Although wavefront is randomized at high temporal frequency, interference fringes are stable.
- So too are diffraction patterns.
 - What about computer-generated holograms?



DOE crosshair pattern on rough surface

- Although wavefront is randomized at high temporal frequency, interference fringes are stable.
- So too are diffraction patterns.
 - What about computer-generated holograms?



DOE crosshair pattern on rough surface

- Although wavefront is randomized at high temporal frequency, interference fringes are stable.
- So too are diffraction patterns.
- What about computer-generated holograms?



CGH LCoS display system

Apparatus

- Approx. Ø100 μm spot focused onto surface of deformable mirror from highly coherent 532 nm laser.
- f = 100 mm lens collects and directs illumination through beamsplitter onto LCoS 1920 \times 1080 pixel phase modulator displaying a computer-generated pattern.
- Hologram image formed on diffusing screen at distance determined by lens focus.



CGH LCoS display system



Spot on DM

- Approx. Ø100 µm spot focused onto surface of deformable mirror from highly coherent 532 nm laser.
- f = 100 mm lens collects and directs illumination through beamsplitter onto LCoS 1920 × 1080 pixel phase modulator displaying a computer-generated pattern.
- Hologram image formed on diffusing screen at distance determined by lens focus.



CGH LCoS display system



LCoS panel illuminated

- Approx. Ø100 µm spot focused onto surface of deformable mirror from highly coherent 532 nm laser.
- f = 100 mm lens collects and directs illumination through beamsplitter onto LCoS 1920 × 1080 pixel phase modulator displaying a computer-generated pattern.
- Hologram image formed on diffusing screen at distance determined by lens focus.



CGH LCoS display system

Desired intensity image

- Approx. Ø100 µm spot focused onto surface of deformable mirror from highly coherent 532 nm laser.
- f = 100 mm lens collects and directs illumination through beamsplitter onto LCoS 1920 × 1080 pixel phase modulator displaying a computer-generated pattern.
- Hologram image formed on diffusing screen at distance determined by lens focus.



CGH LCoS display system

(Region of) CGH phase image

- Approx. Ø100 µm spot focused onto surface of deformable mirror from highly coherent 532 nm laser.
- f = 100 mm lens collects and directs illumination through beamsplitter onto LCoS 1920 × 1080 pixel phase modulator displaying a computer-generated pattern.
- Hologram image formed on diffusing screen at distance determined by lens focus.



CGH LCoS display system



Hologram on diffusing screen

- Approx. Ø100 µm spot focused onto surface of deformable mirror from highly coherent 532 nm laser.
- f = 100 mm lens collects and directs illumination through beamsplitter onto LCoS 1920 × 1080 pixel phase modulator displaying a computer-generated pattern.
- Hologram image formed on diffusing screen at distance determined by lens focus.



Diffusing screen, virtual image lens, camera at exit pupil

• Moving the diffuser is much better, $C_5 \approx 12\%$, $C_l \approx 10.0$



Image on Luminit Inc. 20 deg diffuser, DM inactive.

Significant speckle when DM *inactive*, C_S ≈ 55%, C_I ≈ 10.4
Small improvement when DM *active*! C_S ≈ 39%, C_I ≈ 10.6
Moving the diffuser is much better, C_S ≈ 12%, C_I ≈ 10.0
Is the rough surface is being imaged?



Image on diffuser with DM active

Significant speckle when DM inactive, *Small* improvement when DM *active*! • Moving the diffuser is much better, $C_5 \approx 12\%$, $C_l \approx 10.0$

 $C_{\rm S}\approx 55$ %, $C_{\rm I}\approx 10.4$ $C_{\rm S}\approx 39$ %, $C_{\rm I}\approx 10.6$



Image on diffuser rotating at 10,000 rev./min.

- Significant speckle when DM inactive,
- *Small* improvement when DM *active*!
- Moving the diffuser is much better,
- Is the rough surface is being imaged? ...

 $C_{S} \approx 55 \%, C_{I} \approx 10.4$ $C_{S} \approx 39 \%, C_{I} \approx 10.6$ $C_{S} \approx 12 \%, C_{I} \approx 10.0$



Image on diffuser with DM active

- Significant speckle when DM *inactive*,
- *Small* improvement when DM *active*!
- Moving the diffuser is much better, $C_S \approx 12\%, C_I \approx 10.0$
- Is the rough surface is being imaged? ...

 $C_{S} \approx 55 \%, C_{I} \approx 10.4$ $C_{S} \approx 39 \%, C_{I} \approx 10.6$ $C_{S} \approx 12 \%, C_{I} \approx 10.0$

Volume scatterers



PFA fluoropolymer, 2 mm thickness, smooth surface.

Volume scatterers with smooth surfaces were investigated.
 Experimented with various easy-to-source materials such as silicone, polypropelene, and fluoropolymer.
 Fluoroware[®] wafer carrier found to have best transparency for

■ Fluoroware[®] wafer carrier found to have best transparency for required diffusion angle of approx. 20 deg.

Volume scatterers



PFA fluoropolymer, 2 mm thickness, smooth surface.

- Volume scatterers with smooth surfaces were investigated.
- Experimented with various easy-to-source materials such as silicone, polypropelene, and fluoropolymer.
- Fluoroware[®] wafer carrier found to have best transparency for required diffusion angle of approx. 20 deg.

Volume scatterers



PFA fluoropolymer, 2 mm thickness, smooth surface.

- Volume scatterers with smooth surfaces were investigated.
- Experimented with various easy-to-source materials such as silicone, polypropelene, and fluoropolymer.
- Fluoroware[®] wafer carrier found to have best transparency for required diffusion angle of approx. 20 deg.

Deformable mirror with volume scatterer



Image on PFA, DM inactive.

Speckle visible with DM inactive,

- Significantly reduced with DM active, $C_S \approx 14\%, C_I \approx 10.1$
- Moving the screen only slightly better

 $C_S \approx 31$ %, $C_I \approx 10.7$ $C_S \approx 14$ %, $C_I \approx 10.1$ $C_S \approx 13$ %, $C_I \approx 10.0$

Deformable mirror with volume scatterer



Image on PFA, DM active.

Speckle visible with DM inactive, C₅ ≈ 31 %, C_I ≈ 10.7
 Significantly reduced with DM active, C₅ ≈ 14 %, C_I ≈ 10.1
 Moving the screen only slightly better, C₅ ≈ 13 %, C_I ≈ 10.0

Deformable mirror with volume scatterer



PFA rotating at 10,000 rev./min.

- Speckle visible with DM inactive,
- Significantly reduced with DM active,
- Moving the screen only slightly better, $C_5 \approx 13$ %, $C_I \approx 10.0$

 $C_{\rm S}\approx 31\%, C_{\rm I}\approx 10.7$

- $C_{\rm S} \approx 14$ %, $C_{\rm I} \approx 10.1$

Conclusions

- Deformable mirror preserves sufficient coherence for display of holographic imagery with reduced speckle contrast.
- If diffusion is necessary, rough surfaces conjugate to the image should be avoided.
- Volume scattering materials with good transparency and smooth surfaces are available.

- Deformable mirror preserves sufficient coherence for display of holographic imagery with reduced speckle contrast.
- If diffusion is necessary, rough surfaces conjugate to the image should be avoided.
- Volume scattering materials with good transparency and smooth surfaces are available.

- Deformable mirror preserves sufficient coherence for display of holographic imagery with reduced speckle contrast.
- If diffusion is necessary, rough surfaces conjugate to the image should be avoided.
- Volume scattering materials with good transparency and smooth surfaces are available.

Future Work

Interested in evaluating our technology in other practical implementations of holographic displays.

- And with other optical elements such as microlens array screens, light-shaping diffusers, etc.
- Contact me if interested in collaboration.

- Interested in evaluating our technology in other practical implementations of holographic displays.
- And with other optical elements such as microlens array screens, light-shaping diffusers, etc.

Contact me if interested in collaboration.

- Interested in evaluating our technology in other practical implementations of holographic displays.
- And with other optical elements such as microlens array screens, light-shaping diffusers, etc.
- Contact me if interested in collaboration.

Thanks to Jasper Display Corp., Taiwan, for ongoing collaboration with their LCoS SLM.

Thank you! Questions?

Thank you! Questions?